arXiv:math/0301186v1 [math.NT] 17 Jan 2003

ON THE ZETA FUNCTION OF FORMS OF FERMAT EQUATIONS

LARS BRÜNJES

Abstract. We study "forms of the Fermat equation" over an arbitrary field k, i.e. homogenous equations of degree m in n unknowns that can be transformed into the Fermat equation $X_1^m + \ldots + X_n^m$ by a suitable linear change of variables over an algebraic closure of k. Using the method of Galois descent, we classify all such forms. In the case that k is a finite field of characteristic greater than m that contains the m-th roots of unity, we compute the Galois representation on l-adic cohomology (and so in particular the zeta function) of the hypersurface associated to an arbitrary form of the Fermat equation.

Introduction

One of the most thoroughly studied equations in algebraic geometry is the *Fermat equation*

$$P_n^m : X_1^m + X_2^m + \ldots + X_n^m = 0$$

for natural numbers $m, n \geq 2$ and unknowns $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ in a ring R. In the case $m \geq 3$, $n = 3$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}$, it is the subject of *Fermat's Last Theorem* which was proved by Andrew Wiles in 1993. In the case $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ a finite field, it served André Weil in his important paper [Wei49] as motivation to formulate his famous *Weil conjectures*, whose proof was only completed in 1973 by Pierre Deligne and without doubt marked one of the highlights of 20th century mathematics.

Also in present day algebraic geometry, P_n^m (resp. the *Fermat hypersurface* X_n^m defined by P_n^m) is often used as an example or test case, e.g. in the study of the conjectures of Hodge and Tate. And even though — mainly because of Tetsuji Shioda's work ([SK79], [Shi79a], [Shi79b], [Shi81], [Shi82], [Shi83], [Shi87], [Shi88]) — a lot more is known about X_n^m than about hypersurfaces in general, a lot of questions remain open; for example, Shioda was only able to prove the Hodge and the Tate conjecture for a lot of Fermat hypersurfaces, but not for all of them.

For a homogenous polynomial P over $k := \mathbb{F}_q$ in $n \geq 2$ unknowns with associated projective variety X, one of the basic invariants one can look at is its *zeta function* which is defined as

$$\zeta(P, t) := \zeta(X, t) := \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^i})}{i} t^i\right) \in \mathbb{Q}(t) \subset \mathbb{Q}((t))$$

and which can be computed using

Date: February 8, 2008.

The author is supported by the European Union.

This work has been written under hospitality of the Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics of the University of Cambridge.

0.1. **Theorem.** (Deligne [Del73])
If X is *regular*, we have

$$\zeta(P,t) = \underbrace{Q(P,t)}_{\in\mathbb{Z}[t]}^{[(-1)^{n+1}]} \prod_{i\in\{0,\ldots,n-2\}\setminus\{\frac{n-2}{2}\}} \frac{1}{1-q^i t},$$

and if $l \nmid q$ is a prime, $\bar{X} := X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and $F : \bar{X} \to \bar{X}$ is the geometric Frobenius, we have

$$Q(P,t) = \det\left(1 - F^* t \,|\, \mathrm{H}^{n-2}_{\text{ét}}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_l)\right).$$

The zeta function of X_n^m was already known to Weil, and Deligne [Del82] computed the Frobenius action on $H^*_{\text{ét}}(\bar{X}_n^m, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, which in this case is fairly simple, because the cohomology decomposes into canonical "motivic" 1-dimensional subspaces on whom the Frobenius action is given by special Hecke characters, the so called *Jacobi Sums* (see theorem 4.6 below).

Starting from Fermat hypersurfaces, it is a natural step to next consider the slightly more general class of *diagonal hypersurfaces*, given by *diagonal equations* of the form

$$a_1 X_1^m + \ldots + a_n X_n^m = 0$$

for constants $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in k$; these are studied intensely (in the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$) by Fernando Q. Gouvêa und Noriko Yui in [GY95], which makes it for example easy to compute their zeta function.

The $\bar{k}$-linear substitution $X_i \mapsto \sqrt[m]{a_i} X_i$ transforms the diagonal equation into the Fermat equation P_n^m, which means that the two equations are isomorphic *over* $\bar{k}$ or, as we say, that the diagonal equation is a *form* of P_n^m.

This naturally leads to the questions whether there are other forms of P_n^m that are not diagonal.

Using the method of Galois descent (2.2), we will see that forms of P_n^m are classified by the (non-abelian) cohomology $H^1_{\text{cont}}(G_k, (S_n \int \mu_m)/\mu_m)$, and it turns out that the diagonal equations are exactly those that already come from $H^1_{\text{cont}}(G_k, \mu_m^n)$, which means that they form a rather special subclass of all forms.

Our aim in this paper therefore is to consider *all* forms of P_n^m at the same time, using Galois descent to first classify them and then to compute their zeta function.

Other than in the case of diagonal equations, it is in general difficult to see whether a given equation is a form of P_n^m. An example is the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad = \quad & 2a^3 + 6a^2c + a^2d + 6ab^2 + 2abc + 4abd + 2ac^2 + 3acd + 6ad^2 \\ & \quad + 5b^3 + 2b^2c + 5b^2d + 5bc^2 + 5bcd + 6bd^2 \\ & \quad + 2c^3 + 6c^2d + cd^2 + d^3 \\ + \quad & y^3 + 4x^2y + 5y^3 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

over $\mathbb{F}_7$ which is a form of P_6^3.

The plan of this paper is as follows: In the first chapter we introduce the general notion of a *coefficient extension* which is an axiomatization of situations in which Galois descent works, something we then explain in the second chapter. In the third chapter we apply our machinery to the problem of classifying all forms of P_n^m

over an arbitrary field k, and in the fourth chapter we compute the zeta function of all such forms in the case $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, $k^\times \supseteq \mu_m$. We sum everything up in our main result — Theorem 4.11 — and conclude in the last chapter with some remarks and open questions on the case $k^\times \not\supseteq \mu_m$.

1. Coefficient Extensions

Let K/k be an arbitrary Galois extension of fields with Galois group $G := \mathrm{Gal}\,(K/k)$.

1.1. **Definition.** A *coefficient extension* (from k to K) consists of two categories $\mathcal{C}_k$ and $\mathcal{C}_K$, a covariant functor $F : \mathcal{C}_k \to \mathcal{C}_K$ and a G-action (from the left) on $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(FY, FZ)$ for all $Y, Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_k)$, so that the following two conditions are satisfied:

(CE1) The action is compatible with compositions, i.e. for objects $X, Y, Z \in \mathrm{Ob}\,(\mathcal{C}_k)$, isomorphisms $X \xrightarrow{g} Y$ and $Y \xrightarrow{f} Z$ and an element $s \in G$ we have:

$$^s(fg) = {}^sf\,{}^sg.$$

(CE2) Exactly those isomorphisms that come from $\mathcal{C}_k$ are fix under the action of G, i.e. for objects $Y, Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_k)$ we have:

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}_k}(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(FY, FZ)\right) \;=\; \left[\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(FY, FZ)\right]^G.$$

1.2. **Lemma.** Let $\mathcal{C}_k$, $\mathcal{C}_K$ and $F : \mathcal{C}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_K$ be as in 1.1, and for all objects X and Y from $\mathcal{C}_k$ let us have an action of G on $\mathrm{Mor}_K(FX, FY)$, such that the conditions (CE1') and (CE2') that we get by replacing "isomorphism" by "morphism" in (CE1) and (CE2) are satisfied.

Then we get a coefficient extension after restricting the G-action to isomorphisms.

Proof: This is obvious, seeing as (CE1') and (CE2') imply immediately that the orbit of an isomorphism under the G-action only consists of isomorphisms. **q.e.d.**

1.3. **Examples.**

(i) Let $\mathbf{Var}_k$ and $\mathbf{Var}_K$ be the categories of quasiprojective varieties over k resp. K, let F be the functor of base change with K over k, and let the action of $s \in G$ be defined by the commutativity of the following diagram

(2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_K \arrow[rr, "f"] & & Z_K \\
Y_K \arrow[u, "1_Y \times \mathrm{Spec}\, s", "\wr"'] \arrow[rr, dashed, "{}^sf"'] & = & Z_K \arrow[u, "\wr", "1_Z \times \mathrm{Spec}\, s"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then descent theory ([Gro71, 5.3.]) shows that $\mathbf{Var}_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{Var}_K$ is a coefficient extension.

(ii) Let $\mathbf{Var}'_k$ and $\mathbf{Var}'_K$ be the categories of *geometrically irreducible* quasiprojective varieties over k resp. K with *dominant, rational maps* as morphisms. Define $\mathbf{Var}'_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{Var}'_K$ as in (i) as base change, and again define the G-action by the commutativity of (2). Then $\mathbf{Var}'_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{Var}'_K$ is a coefficient extension.

(iii) For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ consider the categories $\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}$ and $\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}$ whose objects are non-zero homogenous polynomials of degree m in $X_1, \dots, X_n$ over k resp. K and whose morphisms $P \to Q$ are elements $A = (a_{ij})$ of $\mathrm{GL}(n, k)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$), with $Q(AX) := Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j}X_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj}X_j\right) = P$. If for $F : \mathcal{F}_k^{n,m} \to \mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}$ we take the obvious functor and for an (iso-)morphism $(a_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ in $\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}$ and $s \in G$ we put ${}^s(a_{ij}) := ({}^s a_{ij})$, we get a coefficient extension $\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}$.

For example, if $\mathrm{char}\,(k) \notin \{2, 3\}$, and we consider $P := X_1^3 + X_2^3 + X_3^3$ and $Q := X_1^3 + \frac{1}{12}X_2^2X_3 + \frac{1}{4}X_3^3$ in $\mathcal{F}_k^{3,3}$, then $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ defines a morphism $P \to Q$ because of $Q(X_3, 3X_1 - 3X_2, X_1 + X_2) = P$.

(iv) For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ now consider the categories $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}$ whose objects are those of $\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}$ resp. $\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}$ but whose morphisms $P \to Q$ are elements of $\mathrm{PGL}(n, k)$ (resp. $\mathrm{PGL}(n, K)$), represented by regular $n \times n$-matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ with $Q(AX) = \lambda \cdot P$ for a $\lambda \in k^\times$ (resp. $K^\times$). For $F : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}$ we again take the obvious functor, and for an (iso-)morphism $(\overline{a_{ij}}) \in \mathrm{PGL}(n, K)$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}$ and $s \in G$ we put ${}^s\,\overline{(a_{ij})} := \overline{({}^s a_{ij})}$ and in this way get another coefficient extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}} \xrightarrow{F} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}$.

In the explicit example from (iii), $\bar{A} \in \mathrm{PGL}(3, k)$ now defines a morphism $P \to Q' := 12Q = 12X_1^3 + X_2^2X_3 + 3X_3^3$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{3,3}}$.

(v) For a category $\mathcal{C}$ and a group S let $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^S$ be the category whose objects are pairs (X, φ) with $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and φ an S-action on X, and whose morphisms are S-equivariant morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$.

Let G_k and G_K be the absolute Galois groups of k and K, so that we have $G = G_k/G_K$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an arbitrary category, and consider the categories $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G_k}$ and $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G_K}$ and the functor $F : \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G_k} \to \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G_K}, (X, \varphi) \mapsto (X, \varphi | G_K)$. For $s \in G$ choose a representant $\tilde{s} \in G_k$ and define the action of s on an isomorphism $(X, \varphi | G_K) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \psi | G_K)$ by ${}^s f := \psi(\tilde{s}) \circ f \circ \varphi(\tilde{s})^{-1}$. Then $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G_k} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G_K}$ is a coefficient extension.

(In the special case that $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of vector spaces over a field L, we write $\mathbf{Rep}_L^{G_k}$ and $\mathbf{Rep}_L^{G_K}$ instead of $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G_k}$ and $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G_K}$.)

(vi) For a category $\mathcal{C}$ let $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{Iso}}$ denote the category whose objects are those of $\mathcal{C}$ and whose morphisms are the *isomorphisms* of $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}_K$ is a coefficient extension, then clearly $\mathcal{C}_k^{\mathrm{Iso}} \xrightarrow{F|\mathcal{C}_k^{\mathrm{Iso}}} \mathcal{C}_K^{\mathrm{Iso}}$ is one as well.

2. Forms

2.1. Reminder. Let S be a (topological) group and A a (discrete) S-group, i.e. a (topological) group on which S acts (continuously) via group-homomorphisms from the left. We briefly want to remind ourselves of some definitions concerning *non-abelian cohomology* (for details refer to [Ser97] or [Brü02]):

(i) The *zeroth cohomology (of S with values in A)* is the group

$$H^0(S,A) := A^S := \{a \in A \,|\, \forall s \in S \,:\, {}^{s}a = a\}\,.$$

$H^0(S,\_)$ obviously becomes a covariant functor from the category of (discrete) S-groups to the category of groups.

(ii) $Z^1(S,A)$ (resp. $Z^1_{\text{cont}}(S,A)$), the set of *(continuous) 1-cocycles from S in A*, is the pointed set of (continuous) maps $s \mapsto a_s$ from S to A, satisfying the usual 1-cocycle-condition $a_{st} = a_s{}^{s}a_t$, where the special point is given by the *trivial 1-cocycle* $s \mapsto 1$. On $Z^1(S,A)$ (resp. $Z^1_{\text{cont}}(S,A)$), we have the equivalence relation of being *cohomologous*, given by

$$(a_s) \sim (a'_s) \;:\Leftrightarrow\; \exists b \in A : \forall s \in S : a'_s = b^{-1} a_s {}^{s}b,$$

and the pointed set $H^1(S,A)$ (resp. $H^1_{\text{cont}}(S,A)$) of equivalence classes is called the *first (continuous) cohomology of S with values in A*. It is easy to see that $H^1(S,\_)$ (resp. $H^1_{\text{cont}}(S,\_)$) induces a covariant functor from the category of (discrete) S-groups to the category of pointed sets.

(iii) Let $1 \to A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \to 1$ be an exact sequence of (discrete) S-groups, and let $b = (b_s)$ be a (continuous) 1-cocycle from S in B. Then we can define new (continuous) S-actions on A, B and C by *twisting by b*, i.e. by setting

$${}^{s\prime}a := i^{-1}\left(b_s \cdot {}^{s}(ia) \cdot b_s^{-1}\right), \quad {}^{s\prime}b := b_s \cdot {}^{s}b \cdot b_s^{-1}, \quad {}^{s\prime}c := (pb_s)\cdot {}^{s}c \cdot (pb_s)^{-1},$$

for $s \in S$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $c \in C$. These S-groups are denoted by A_b, B_b and C_b, and we get a bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{(\text{cont})}(S,A_b)/H^0(S,C_b) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \left\{[b'] \in H^1_{(\text{cont})}(S,B) \,\middle|\, p_*[b'] = p_*[b]\right\} \\ (a_s) & \mapsto & (a_s \cdot b_s) \end{array}$$

where the right-$H^0(S,C_b)$-action on $H^1_{(\text{cont})}(S,A_b)$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1_{(\text{cont})}(S,A_b) & \times & H^0(S,C_b) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{(\text{cont})}(S,A_b) \\ \big((a_s) & , & c\big) & \mapsto & (\beta^{-1} a_s b_s {}^{s}\beta b_s^{-1}) \end{array}$$

(for a β with $p(\beta) = c$).

2.2. Proposition/ Definition. (Galois descent) Let $F : \mathcal{C}_k \to \mathcal{C}_K$ be a coefficient extension and $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_k)$. We define the class of *$\mathcal{C}_K/\mathcal{C}_k$-forms of X* as

$$E(\mathcal{C}_K/\mathcal{C}_k, X) \;:=\; \{Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_k) \,|\, FY \cong_K FX\}/\mathcal{C}_k\text{-isomorphisms.}$$

According to (CE1), the group $A(X) := \mathrm{Aut}_K(FX)$ is a G-group, and if for $[Y] \in E(\mathcal{C}_K/\mathcal{C}_k, X)$ we choose $f : FY \xrightarrow{\sim} FX$, then a straight forward computation shows that $\left[s \mapsto f\,{}^{s}(f^{-1})\right]$ is a 1-cocycle of G in $A(X)$ whose class $\vartheta[Y]$ in $H^1(G, A(X))$ only depends on $[Y]$ and that the correspondence $[Y] \mapsto \vartheta[Y]$ is *injective.* In particular, $E(\mathcal{C}_K/\mathcal{C}_k, X)$ is a *set*, and we thus get a well-defined injective map (of pointed sets)

$$\boxed{\vartheta : \ E(\mathcal{C}_K/\mathcal{C}_k, X) \hookrightarrow H^1(G, A(X))}.$$

2.3. **Proposition.** Let $\mathcal{C}_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}_K$ be a coefficient extension, $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_k)$ and $Y \in E(\mathcal{C}_K/\mathcal{C}_k, X)$ with $\vartheta[Y] = [a]$ for a 1-cocycle a from G in $A(X)$. If $\mathrm{Aut}_k(Y) \xrightarrow{F} A(Y)$ is injective[†], then

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Aut}_k(Y) \cong H^0\left(G, A(X)_a\right).}$$

Proof. Let $f : FY \xrightarrow{\sim} FX$ be an isomorphism with $a_s = f\,{}^s(f^{-1})$. Then it is easy to see that $A(Y) \to A(X)_a$, $b \mapsto fbf^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of G-groups. Thus the proposition follows from $(CE2)$. **q.e.d.**

2.4. **Lemma/ Definition.** Let $F : \mathcal{C}_k \to \mathcal{C}_K$ be a coefficient extension. If for all $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}\,(\mathcal{C}_k)$ the G-action on $\mathrm{Iso}_K\,(FX, FY)$ is *continuous* (where we consider G and $\mathrm{Iso}_K\,(FX, FY)$ as topological spaces, endowed with Krull resp. discrete topology), we call that coefficient extension *continuous*.

In that case one sees easily that ϑ maps $E(\mathcal{C}_K/\mathcal{C}_k, X)$ into $H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, A(X))$.

2.5. **Example.** It is easy to see that the coefficient extensions $\mathbf{Var}_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{Var}_K$, $\mathbf{Var}'_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{Var}'_K$, $\mathcal{F}^{n,m}_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{F}^{n,m}_K$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}^{n,m}_k} \xrightarrow{F} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}^{n,m}_K}$ from 1.3 are continuous, whereas the coefficient extension $\mathbf{Rep}^{G_k}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{Rep}^{G_K}_{\mathcal{C}}$ in general is not.

2.6. **Lemma/ Definition.** Let L be an arbitrary field, $F : \mathbf{Rep}^{G_k}_L \to \mathbf{Rep}^{G_K}_L$ the coefficient extension from 1.3(v), $V := (V, \varphi) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{Rep}^{G_k}_L)$ and $\xi = (a_{\bar{s}}) \in Z^1(G, A(V))$.

We define a new G_k-action φ^ξ on V by $\varphi^\xi(s) := a_{\bar{s}}\varphi(s)$. Then it is easy to see that $V(\xi) := (V, \varphi^\xi)$ is a K/k-form of V with

$$\vartheta[V(\xi)] \quad = \quad [\xi] \in H^1(G, A(V)).$$

In particular, we see that ϑ is bijective in this case.

2.7. **Definition.** A *morphism of coefficient extensions* $\mathcal{C}_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}_K$ and $\mathcal{C}'_k \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{C}'_K$ is given by *covariant* functors $H_k : \mathcal{C}_k \to \mathcal{C}'_k$ and $H_K : \mathcal{C}_K \to \mathcal{C}'_K$ and an isomorphism of functors $h : H_K F \xrightarrow{\sim} F' H_k$, such that for all $Y, Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_k)$ the composition

$$\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(FY, FZ) \xrightarrow{H_K} \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}_K'}(H_K FY, H_K FZ) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}_K'}(F' H_k Y, F' H_k Z)$$

[†] This condition is automatically satisfied for all coefficient extensions in 1.3.

is G-equivariant.

The following proposition is an easy consequence of our definitions:

2.8. **Proposition.** If $\mathcal{C}_k \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}_K \xrightarrow{(H_k,H_K,h)} \mathcal{C}'_k \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{C}'_K$ is a morphism of coefficient extensions, then for all $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_k)$ the following diagram of pointed sets commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E(\mathcal{C}_K/\mathcal{C}_k, X) \arrow[r, "\varphi", "{[Y] \mapsto [H_k Y]}"'] \arrow[d, hook, "\vartheta"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "="] & E(\mathcal{C}'_K/\mathcal{C}'_k, H_k X) \arrow[d, hook, "\vartheta"] \\
H^1(G, A(X)) \arrow[r, "(a_s) \mapsto (h H_K a_s)", "\psi"'] & H^1(G, A(H_k X))
\end{tikzcd}$$

2.9. **Examples.**

(i) For natural numbers $n \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$, there is an obvious morphism of coefficient extensions $\big(F : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,r}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,r}}\big) \longrightarrow \big(F' : \mathbf{Var}_k^{\mathrm{Iso}} \to \mathbf{Var}_K^{\mathrm{Iso}}\big)$.

(ii) For $l \neq \mathrm{char}(k)$ prime and $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, l-adic cohomology induces a morphism of coefficient extensions $\big(F' : \mathbf{Var}_k^{\mathrm{Iso}} \to \mathbf{Var}_K^{\mathrm{Iso}}\big) \longrightarrow \big(F'' : \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{G_k} \to \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{G_K}\big)$ by sending $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{Var}_k^{\mathrm{Iso}})$ to $\mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}(X \times_k \bar{K}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ and an isomorphism f to $(f^{-1})^*$, analogous for $\mathbf{Var}_K^{\mathrm{Iso}}$.

(iii) Combining (i) and (ii), we get the following morphism of coefficient extensions:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,r}} \arrow[r, "H_K"] & \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{G_K} \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,r}} \arrow[u, "F"] \arrow[r, "H_k"'] \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, shorten=12mm, "h"] & \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{G_k} \arrow[u, "F''"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

2.10. **Corollary.** Let $n \geq 2$, $r \geq 1$ and $i \geq 0$ be natural numbers, $l \neq \mathrm{char}(k)$ prime, $P \in \mathrm{Ob}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,r}})$, $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$ the hypersurface defined by P and φ_P the action of G_k on l-adic cohomology:

$$\varphi_P : G_k \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_l} \underbrace{\mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}(X \times_k \bar{K}, \mathbb{Q}_l)}_{=:V}.$$

Take a $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,r}}/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,r}}$-Form Q of P with $\vartheta[Q] =: [(A_s)] \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, A(P))$ and corresponding hypersurface Y. Then φ_Q, the corresponding G_k-action on V (note $Y_K \cong X_K$!), is given by

$$\boxed{\varphi_Q : G_k \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(V),\ s \mapsto \mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}\left(A_{\bar{s}}^{-1}\right) \circ \varphi_P(s)} \tag{3}$$

In the particular case that k is *finite* with arithmetic Frobenius $f \in G_k$, we get:

$$\boxed{F_Y^* = \mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}\left({}^{\bar{f}^{-1}}A_{\bar{f}}\right) \circ F_X^*} \tag{4}$$

where $F_P^* := \varphi_P(f)^{-1}$ resp. $F_Q^* := \varphi_Q(f)^{-1}$ denotes geometric Frobenii, and the knowledge of F_Q^* determines φ_Q.

Proof. (3) and (4) are easy consequences of 2.6 and 2.8, and the last statement follows from 2.4 and 2.5 and the fact that f generates G_k topologically. **q.e.d.**

3. Forms of the Fermat equation

Consider $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, k a field with $(\operatorname{char} k) \nmid m!$, K a separable algebraic closure of k, $G := G_k = \operatorname{Gal}(K/k)$ the absolute Galois group of k and $P_n^m := X_1^m + \ldots + X_n^m \in k[X_i]$ the *Fermat equation*. The latter is an object of both $\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}}$.

3.1. **Proposition.** (Shioda [Shi88])
Let $S_n \int \mu_m$ denote the Kranz product of the symmetric group S_n and the group μ_m of m-th roots of unity in K, i.e. the semidirect product $\mu_m^n \rtimes S_n$. Then

$$S_n \int \mu_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, K), \quad (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \cdot \sigma \mapsto (\mu_i \cdot \delta_{i,\sigma(j)})_{ij}$$

defines an isomorphism from $S_n \int \mu_m$ onto $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}}(P_n^m)$.

3.2. **Reminder.** (see for example [Tam94])
Let L be a commutative, separable k-algebra of degree n. If N denotes the set $\operatorname{Hom}_k(L, K)$ and if we choose a bijection $N \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and thereby identify the groups $\operatorname{Aut} N$ and S_n, then $s \mapsto [\varphi \mapsto s \circ \varphi]$ defines a continuous 1-cocycle of G in N resp. S_n. If we start with an isomorphic k-algebra or choose another bijection, we end up with a cohomologous 1-cocycle and therefore get a well defined map from isomorphism classes of commutative, separable k-algebras of degree n to $H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, S_n)$. This map is an isomorphism of pointed sets.

3.3. **Theorem.** (Rupprecht [Rup96], Brünjes [Brü02])

(i) The canonical projection $S_n \int \mu_m \overset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} S_n$ induces a surjection $H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, S_n \int \mu_m) \overset{p_*}{\twoheadrightarrow} H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, s_n)$ on cohomology. Let $c \in Z^1_{\text{cont}}(G, S_n)$ be an arbitrary 1-cocycle, represented in the sense of 3.2 by a commutative, separable k-Algebra L of degree n. If N denotes $\operatorname{Hom}_k(L, K)$ and if we choose a bijection $N \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1,\dots,n\}$ and thereby identify $S_n \int \mu_m$ with $\mu_m^N \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}\,N$, we have a bijection

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Aut}_k L\backslash(L^\times/L^{\times m}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \left\{ [b] \in H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, \mu_m^N \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}\,N) \;\middle|\; p_*[b] = [c] \right\} \\ \bar{x} & \mapsto & \left(\left(\dfrac{s \sqrt[m]{s^{-1}\varphi x}}{\sqrt[m]{\varphi x}} \right)_{\varphi \in N} \cdot c_s \right)_s \end{array}} \tag{5}$$

and therefore an isomorphism

$$\boxed{\coprod_{[L]} \mathrm{Aut}_k L\backslash L^\times/L^{\times m} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}\big(G, A(P_n^m)\big)} \tag{6}$$

of pointed sets where $[L]$ runs over the isomorphism classes of commutative, separable k-algebras of degree n.

(ii) The map $E(\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}/\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}, P_n^m) \xrightarrow{\vartheta} H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}\big(G, A(P_n^m)\big)$ is an *isomorphism.* If (L, x) represents an element of the right hand side via (6) and if $\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}$ is a k-basis of L, then a preimage is given by the *generalized trace form* $P_n^m\{L,x\}$, defined as

$$\boxed{P_n^m\{L,x\} := \mathrm{Tr}_{L[X_i]/k[X_i]} \left[\frac{1}{x} \Big(e_1X_1 + \ldots + e_nX_n \Big)^m \right] \in k[X_1,\dots,X_n].} \tag{7}$$

Outline of proof: For details refer to [Rup96] or [Brü02]. — First of all, the canonical section $S_n \hookrightarrow S_n \int \mu_m$ of p gives rise to a section of p_* which proves the surjectivity of p_*.

Next we identify S_n with $\mathrm{Aut}\,N$ and as a consequence the short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \mu_m^n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & S_n \int \mu_m \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, equal] & S_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\ 1 \arrow[r] & \mu_m^N \arrow[r] & \mu_m^N \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}\,N \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathrm{Aut}\,N \arrow[r] & 1, \end{tikzcd}$$

twist the lower row with c, considered as a 1-cocycle from G in $\mu_m^N \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}\,N$, and get the bijection (as explained in 2.1(iii))

$$H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}\left(G, \left(\mu_m^N\right)_c\right)^{H^0\left(G, (\mathrm{Aut}\,N)_c\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_*^{-1}[c].$$

How to compute $H^0\left(G, (\mathrm{Aut}\,N)_c\right)$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}\left(G, \left(\mu_m^N\right)_c\right)$? — First, it is not very difficult to see that

$$\mathrm{Aut}_k L^{\mathrm{opp}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0\left(G, (\mathrm{Aut}\,N)_c\right), \quad a \mapsto [\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ a]$$

is a well defined isomorphism of groups.

To compute $H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}\left(G, \left(\mu_m^N\right)_c\right)$, we take the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_m^N \to K^{\times N} \xrightarrow{m} K^{\times N} \to 1,$$

twist it by c and consider the resulting long exact cohomology sequence:

$$1 \to H^0\left(G, \left(\mu_m^N\right)_c\right) \to H^0\left(G, {K_c^{\times}}^N\right) \xrightarrow{m} H^0\left(G, {K_c^{\times}}^N\right) \xrightarrow{\delta}$$
$$H^1_{\text{cont}}\left(G, \left(\mu_m^N\right)_c\right) \to H^1_{\text{cont}}\left(G, {K_c^{\times}}^N\right) \xrightarrow{m} \dots$$

It can then be proved that

$$L^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0\left(G, {K_c^{\times}}^N\right), \quad x \mapsto (\varphi x)_{\varphi \in N}$$

is a well defined group isomorphism and that the analogue of Hilbert 90 holds in this setting, i.e. we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0\left(G, {K_c^{\times}}^N\right) \arrow[r, "m"] & H^0\left(G, {K_c^{\times}}^N\right) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & H^1_{\text{cont}}\left(G, \left(\mu_m^N\right)_c\right) \arrow[r] & H^1_{\text{cont}}\left(G, {K_c^{\times}}^N\right) \\
L^\times \arrow[u, "\wr"] \arrow[r, "m"] & L^\times \arrow[u, "\wr"] \arrow[r, "\delta'"] & H^1_{\text{cont}}\left(G, \left(\mu_m^N\right)_c\right) \arrow[u, equal] \arrow[r] & 0. \arrow[u, equal]
\end{tikzcd}$$

This proves that we have an isomorphism $L^\times / {L^{\times}}^m \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^1_{\text{cont}}\left(G, \left(\mu_m^N\right)_c\right)$, and a careful inspection of the involved maps shows that γ is given by

$$\bar{x} \mapsto \left(\left(\frac{s\sqrt[m]{s^{-1}\varphi x}}{\sqrt[m]{\varphi x}}\right)_{\varphi \in N}\right)_s$$

and that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, (\mu_m^N)_c) & \times & H^0(G, (\operatorname{Aut} N)_c) \arrow[r] & H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, (\mu_m^N)_c) \\
L^\times / {L^{\times}}^m \arrow[u, "\gamma", "\wr"'] & \times & \operatorname{Aut}_k(L)^{\text{opp}} \arrow[u, "\alpha", "\wr"'] \arrow[r] & L^\times / {L^{\times}}^m \arrow[u, "\gamma", "\wr"'] \\
(\bar{x} & , & a) \arrow[r, mapsto] & \overline{a(x)}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Because a right-action of $\operatorname{Aut}_k(L)^{\text{opp}}$ is a left-action of $\operatorname{Aut}_k(L)$, this proves (i).

To prove (ii), consider the $n \times n$-matrix $B := \left(\frac{\varphi e_j}{\sqrt[m]{\varphi x}}\right)_{\varphi \in N, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$. One can show that B is regular and by a direct computation see that $P_n^m(BX) = P_n^m\{L, x\}$, i.e. that B defines an isomorphism from $P_n^m\{L, x\}$ to P_n^m. So $P_n^m\{L, x\}$ is indeed a $\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}/\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}$-form of P_n^m. Then we can use the isomorphism B to compute $\vartheta(P_n^m\{L, x\})$ using the definition in 2.2 and compare the result with what (5) gives us to show $\vartheta(P_n^m\{L, x\}) = (L, x)$, and this completes the proof of the theorem. **q.e.d.**

3.4. **Proposition.** Consider the particular case $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, and let $\bar{b}$ be an arbitrary class in $H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, \mu_m^n)$, given by a pair (L, x) in the sense of 3.3(i).

Then $L = \prod_{i=1}^r L_i$ for subfields L_i of $K = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of finite degree n_i over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ with $x_i \in L_i^\times$. Choose m-th roots y_i of x_i in K.

Then there is a 1-cocycle b representing $\bar{b}$ that on the arithmetic Frobenius f is given by

$$\boxed{b_f = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left[\left(y_i^{q^{n_i}-1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \cdot z_{n_i}\right]}$$

(where $z_{n_i} \in S_{n_i} \leq S_n$ denotes the standard cycle of length n_i).

Proof: Without loss of generality, we can assume $r = 1$, i.e. $L = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Then $N := \mathrm{Hom}_k(L, K) = \{f^0, \ldots, f^{n-1}\}$ which we identify with $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, and it is clear that f acts on N as the standard cycle z_n.

For $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ we choose $y_1^{q^i}$ as an m-th root of $f^i(x)$ and with these choices get

$$\frac{f\ \sqrt[m]{f^{-1}\varphi x}}{\sqrt[m]{\varphi x}} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{f\ \sqrt[m]{f^{-1}x}}{\sqrt[m]{x}} = \dfrac{\left(\sqrt[m]{f^{n-1}x}\right)^q}{y} = \dfrac{\left(y^{(q^{n-1})}\right)^q}{y} = y_1^{q^{n-1}-1} & \text{if } \varphi = f^0, \\ \dfrac{f\ \sqrt[m]{f^{i-1}x}}{\sqrt[m]{f^i x}} = \dfrac{\left(y^{(q^{i-1})}\right)^q}{y^{(q^i)}} = \dfrac{y^{(q^i)}}{y^{(q^i)}} = 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This proves the proposition because of (5). **q.e.d.**

3.5. **Example.** Take $m = 3$, $n = 6$, $k = \mathbb{F}_7$ and choose $b := (L, x)$ with $L := \mathbb{F}_{2401} \times \mathbb{F}_{49}$ and $x := (\beta^2, \alpha)$, where α resp. β are generators of $\mathbb{F}_{49}^\times$ resp. $\mathbb{F}_{2401}^\times$ satisfying $\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + 5 = 0$ resp. $\beta^4 + 5\beta^3 + 4\beta^2 + \beta + 5 = 0$.

We have $n_1 = 4$ and $n_2 = 2$, choose third roots y_1 of $\frac{1}{\beta}$ and y_2 of $\frac{1}{\alpha^2}$ and calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^{7^4-1} &= \left(\tfrac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{7^4-1}{3}} = \left(\tfrac{1}{\beta}\right)^{800} = \beta^{2400-800} = \beta^{1600} = \left(\beta^{400}\right)^4 = 5^4 = 2, \\ y_2^{7^2-1} &= \left(\tfrac{1}{\alpha^2}\right)^{\frac{7^2-1}{3}} = \left(\tfrac{1}{\alpha^2}\right)^{16} = \alpha^{48-2\cdot16} = \alpha^{16} = \left(\alpha^8\right)^2 = 5^2 = 4. \end{aligned}$$

Then because of 3.4, we have a 1-cocycle b representing the class (L, x) that on f is given by

$$b_f = (2, 1, 1, 1, 4, 1) \cdot [1234][56] \in \mu_3 \int S_6,$$

and computing (7) explicitly shows that $P\{L, x\}$ is the polynomial from (1).

3.6. **Corollary.** Let (L, x) represent an arbitrary class in $H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, S_n \int \mu_m)$ with $L = \prod_{i=1}^r L_i$ for subfields L_i of K. Then we have the following short exact sequence of groups:

$$\boxed{1 \to \prod_{i=1}^{r} (L_i \cap \mu_m) \to \mathrm{Aut}_k\left(P_n^m\{L, x\}\right) \to \left\{a \in \mathrm{Aut}_k L^{\mathrm{opp}} \,\middle|\, \tfrac{ax}{x} \in {L^\times}^m\right\} \to 1} \tag{8}$$

In the special case $x = 1 \in \mathrm{Aut}_k L \backslash L^\times / {L^\times}^m$ we get more precisely:

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Aut}_k\left(P_n^m\{L, x\}\right) \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} (L_i \cap \mu_m)\right) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}_k L^{\mathrm{opp}}} \tag{9}$$

with the semi-direct product given by the following action:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \operatorname{Aut}_k(L)^{\mathrm{opp}} & \times & \left(\prod_{i=1}^r (L_i \cap \mu_m)\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(\prod_{i=1}^r (L_i \cap \mu_m)\right) \\ (a & , & x) & \mapsto & a^{-1}(x) \end{array} \tag{10}$$

Proof: We again set $N := \operatorname{Hom}_k(L, K)$ and identify N with $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then take the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_m^N \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mu_m^N \rtimes \operatorname{Aut} N}_{=:H} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut} N \longrightarrow 1,$$

twist by the 1-cocycle $b := (L, x)$ and look at the induced long exact cohomology sequence:

$$1 \to H^0(G, (\mu_m^N)_b) \to H^0(G, H_b) \to H^0(G, \operatorname{Aut} N_b) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, (\mu_m^N)_b).$$

Let c denote the image of b under $H \xrightarrow{p} \operatorname{Aut} N \xrightarrow{s} H$ (with s the canonical section). Then it is easy to see that $\operatorname{Aut} N_b = \operatorname{Aut} N_c$ and $(\mu_m^N)_b = (\mu_m^N)_c$ and that we have a group isomorphism

$$\eta : \prod_{i=1}^r (L_i \cap \mu_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(G, (\mu_m^N)_c)), \quad x \mapsto (\varphi x)_{\varphi \in N}.$$

If we use this together with the isomorphism γ defined in the proof of 3.3 and apply 2.3, we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & H^0(G, (\mu_m^N)_c) \arrow[r] & H^0(G, H_b) \arrow[r] & H^0(G, \operatorname{Aut} N_c) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, (\mu_m^N)_c) \\
1 \arrow[r] & \prod_{i=1}^r (L_i \cap \mu_m) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\eta", "\wr"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "="] & \operatorname{Aut}_k\left(P_n^m\{L, x\}\right) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\wr"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "="] & \operatorname{Aut}_k(L)^{\mathrm{opp}} \arrow[r, dashed, "\tilde{\delta}"'] \arrow[u, "\alpha", "\wr"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "="] & L^\times / {L^\times}^m \arrow[u, "\gamma", "\wr"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The exactness of (8) now follows from the fact that $\tilde{\delta}$ is given by $a \mapsto \frac{ax}{x}$ which can be proved by a direct computation using the explicit desciptions of α, γ and δ.

Consider now the case $x = 1$. Then b is induced from a 1-cocycle c from G in S_n, and it is easy to see that then $S_n \xrightarrow{s} S_n \int \mu_m$ defines a splitting of (8).

Finally, the explicit description of the action in (10) is proved by comparing it with the natural action of $\operatorname{Aut} N$ on μ_m^N using the isomorphisms α and η. **q.e.d.**

3.7. **Remark.** We deduced the exact sequences (8) and (9) using our general formalism of coefficient extensions and the cohomological description of forms; as Sładek and Wesołowski show in [SW98, Theorem 1.3.] resp. [Wes99, Theorem 3.3.], these results can also be obtained by a direct computation.

3.8**. Proposition.** Define $\tilde{A} := \tilde{A_n^m} := \big(S_n \int \mu_m\big)/\mu_m$ (where μ_m is embedded diagonally into $S_n \int \mu_m$). Then $S_n \int \mu_m \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n,K)$ induces an embedding $\tilde{A} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(n,K)$ and an isomorphism onto $\mathrm{Aut}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}}(P_n^m)$.

Proof: The kernel of the canonical map $S_n \int \mu_m \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n,K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(n,K)$ is μ_m, so that $\tilde{A}$ embeds into $\mathrm{PGL}(n,K)$, and it is also clear that the image is contained in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}}(P_n^m)$. To prove equality, let $\bar{B} \in \mathrm{PGL}(n,K)$ be an $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}$-automorphism of P_n^m, represented by $B \in \mathrm{GL}(n,K)$. By definition of morphisms in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}$, there exists $c \in K^\times$ with $P_n^m(BX) = c \cdot P_n^m$. Choose an m-th root d of c in $K^\times$ and put $B' := \frac{1}{d}B \in \mathrm{GL}(n,K)$. Then $P_n^m(B'X) = P_n^m(\frac{1}{d}BX) = \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^m \cdot P_n^m(BX) = P_n^m$, i.e. B' is an $\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}$-automorphism of P_n^m. Then $B' \in S_n \int \mu_m$ because of 3.1, and this proves the proposition because of $\bar{B'} = \bar{B} \in \mathrm{PGL}(n,K)$. **q.e.d.**

3.9**. Definition.** Let L be a commutative, separable k-algebra of degree n. We introduce the following equivalence relation $\sim_k$ on the set $\mathrm{Aut}_k L \backslash (L^\times / L^{\times m})$:

$$[x] \sim_k [x'] :\Leftrightarrow \exists \lambda \in k^\times : \exists a \in \mathrm{Aut}_k L : \exists y \in L^\times : x' = \lambda \cdot a(x) \cdot y^m.$$

3.10**. Theorem.**

(i) Let p denote the canonical projection $S_n \int \mu_m \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{A}$. Then ϑ induces an isomorphism

$$\boxed{E(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}}, P_n^m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Im}\left(H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, S_n \int \mu_m) \xrightarrow{p_*} H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, \tilde{A})\right)}$$

(ii) Two classes (L,x) and (L',x') in $H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, S_n \int \mu_m)$ have the same image under p_* iff there exists $\lambda \in k^\times$ such that $(L, \lambda x) = (L', x')$. Because of 3.3(i), this is equivalent to the existence of a k-isomorphism $\psi : L \xrightarrow{\sim} L'$ satisfying $x' \sim_k \psi(x)$.

(iii) ϑ induces an isomorphism of pointed sets

$$\boxed{E(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}}, P_n^m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \coprod_{[L]} \Big[\mathrm{Aut}_k L \backslash (L^\times / L^{\times m})\Big] / \sim_k}$$

where $[L]$ runs over the isomorphism classes of commutative, separable k-algebras of degree n. The inverse map sends a pair (L,x) to the isomorphism class of $P_n^m\{(L,x)\}$.

Proof. The obvious morphism of coefficient extensions $(\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m} \to \mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}) \to (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}})$ induces because of 2.8 the commutative diagram of pointed sets

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E(\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}/\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}, P_n^m) \arrow[r, "\varphi", "{[Q] \mapsto [Q]}"'] \arrow[d, "\vartheta'"', "\wr"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "="] & E(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}}, P_n^m) \arrow[d, hook, "\vartheta"] \\
H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, S_n \int \mu_m) \arrow[r, "(a_s) \mapsto (\bar{a}_s)", "p_*"'] & H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, \tilde{A}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

This immediately implies $\operatorname{Im}\vartheta \supseteq \operatorname{Im} p_*$, and it also shows that in order to prove $\operatorname{Im}\vartheta \subseteq \operatorname{Im} p_*$, it suffices to see that φ is surjective. So let Q be an arbitrary $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}}/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}}$-form of P_n^m, so that there are $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ and $\lambda \in K^\times$ with $P_n^m(AX) = \lambda \cdot Q$. Choose an m-th root μ of λ and put $A' := (1/\mu) \cdot A$, then $P_n^m(A'X) = (1/\mu)^m \cdot P_n^m(AX) = (1/\lambda) \cdot \lambda \cdot Q = Q$, which means that A' defines an isomorphism from Q to P_n^m in $\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}$, i.e. Q is also a $\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}/\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}$-form of P_n^m, and $[Q]$ is a preimage of $[Q]$ under φ. This completes the proof of (i).

Now let $b = (L, x)$ be an arbitrary element of $Z^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, S_n \int \mu_m)$. According to 2.1(iii), those classes in $H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, S_n \int \mu_m)$ that are mapped to the same class as $[b]$ under p_* are exactly those of the form $(a_s \cdot b_s)$ for $(a_s) \in H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, \mu_{m,b})$.

It is easy to see that $\mu_{m,b} = \mu_m$, because μ_m lies in the center of $S_n \int \mu_m$. Therefore we have the well-known Kummer isomorphism $k^\times/(k^\times)^m \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, \mu_{m,b})$, $\lambda \mapsto \left(s \sqrt[m]{\lambda} / \sqrt[m]{\lambda}\right)_s$, and a straightforward calculation using (5) shows $\left(\frac{s \sqrt[m]{\lambda}}{\sqrt[m]{\lambda}} \cdot b_s\right) = (L, \lambda x)$ in $H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, S_n \int \mu_m)$ which proves (ii).

Finally, (iii) is a direct consequence of (i), (ii) and 3.3. **q.e.d.**

4. l-ADIC COHOMOLOGY OF THE FERMAT HYPERSURFACE

4.1. **Proposition.** Let S be a group, A a finite abelian group of order N and exponent m, $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, \mu_m] \subseteq R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a ring, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ a pseudoabelian, R-linear category, and $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ an object with a *right*-$(A \rtimes S)$-action. Then an easy calculation, using basic representation theory of finite groups (see for example [Lan93, pp675]) shows:

(i) The set $\{p_\chi \,|\, \chi \in \check{A}\}$ with $p_\chi := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a \in A} \chi(a)^{-1} a \in R[A]$ forms a complete system of idempotents in $R[A]$.

(ii) If we consider the p_χ via $A = A^{\mathrm{opp}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ as projectors on M and denote the parts cut out by M_χ, then $M = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \check{A}} M_\chi$.

(iii) For $s \in S$, $b \in A$ and $\chi \in \check{A}$, we have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_{{}^s\chi} \arrow[r, "p_\chi \cdot s \cdot p_{{}^s\chi}"] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "="] & M_\chi \arrow[d] \\
M \arrow[r, "s"'] & M
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
M_\chi \arrow[r, "\chi(b)"] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "="] & M_\chi \arrow[d] \\
M \arrow[r, "b"'] & M
\end{tikzcd}$$

(iv) For $\chi \in \check{A}$, let $[\chi] \subseteq \check{A}$ be the orbit of χ under the action of S, and set $M_{[\chi]} := \bigoplus_{\chi \in [\chi]} M_\chi$. Then $A \rtimes S$ acts on $M_{[\chi]}$, and if $\check{A} = [\chi_1] \sqcup \ldots \sqcup [\chi_r]$, then $M = M_{[\chi_1]} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{[\chi_r]}$ is a decomposition of M into $(A \rtimes S)$-invariant subobjects.

4.2. **Examples.** Let X/k be a smooth, projective variety with a left-$(A \rtimes S)$-action.

(i) Take for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ the category $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}_k^E}$ of Grothendieck-motives over k with coefficients in E, and let $h(X)$ be the motive of X. Then $A \rtimes S$ acts on $h(X)$ from the right, and we get

$$h(X) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \check{A}} h(X)_\chi.$$

(ii) Let $l \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$ be a prime with $l \equiv 1 \pmod m$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\mathbb{Q}_l$ contains μ_m and, we can choose $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l$ by which $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} := \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{G_k}$ becomes a (pseudo-)abelian, $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$-linear category. If $V \in \operatorname{Ob}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ denotes the $\mathbb{Q}_l$-G_k-representation $\mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}(X \times_k \bar{k}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, we get a right-$(A \rtimes S)$-action on V and therefore a decomposition of V in $\mathbb{Q}_l$-G_k-representations V_χ, explicitly given by

$$V_\chi = \{v \in V \mid \forall a \in A:\ v \cdot a = \chi(a) \cdot v\}. \tag{11}$$

Now consider the case $n \geq 2$, $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod m$ ($\Longleftrightarrow \mu_m \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$), $p := \operatorname{char}(k) > m$, and choose a prime $l \neq p$, $l \equiv 1 \pmod m$.

4.3. **Lemma/ Definition.** Let $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_n^m$ be the *Fermat hypersurface* associated to P_n^m, A the finite abelian group μ_m^n/μ_m (so that $\tilde{A} = A \rtimes S_n$), $\zeta := \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} \in \mu_m \subset \mathbb{C}$, and choose an embedding $\mu_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. Then

$$\check{A} \cong \left\{ \boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^n \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

via

$$(\boldsymbol{a}, \underbrace{[(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)]}_{\in A}) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i^{a_i}. \tag{12}$$

Define

$$A_n^m := \left\{ \boldsymbol{a} \in \check{A} \mid \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \ :\ a_i \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

If we set $\bar{\mathcal{X}} := \mathcal{X} \times_k \bar{k}$ and $V := V_n^m := \mathrm{H}^{n-2}_{\text{ét}}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, according to 4.2(ii) we get a canonical decomposition of the $\mathbb{Q}_l$-G_k-representation V as

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \check{A}} V_\chi.$$

4.4**. Definition.** Choose a character χ of $k^\times$ of exact order m, and for $\boldsymbol{a} \in A_n^m$ define the *Jacobi sum of dimension* $(n-2)$ *and degree* m *of* $\boldsymbol{a}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_k^m(\boldsymbol{a}) &:= \mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{a}) \\ &:= (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{(v_2,\ldots,v_n)\in k^\times\times\ldots\times k^\times \\ 1+v_2+\ldots+v_n=0}} \chi(v_2)^{a_2}\cdot\chi(v_3)^{a_3}\cdot\ldots\cdot\chi(v_n)^{a_n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_l. \end{aligned}$$

4.5**. Proposition.** (Weil [Wei49])
If $\sigma \in S_n$ is a permutation and $\boldsymbol{a} \in A_n^m$, then $\mathcal{J}(\sigma\boldsymbol{a}) = \mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{a})$, i.e. $\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{a})$ only depends on $[\boldsymbol{a}] \in S_n \backslash A_n^m$.

4.6**. Theorem.** (Deligne [Del82, I., §7])

$$V = \underbrace{\left(\bigoplus_{a\in A_n^m} V_{\boldsymbol{a}}\right)}_{=:V^m_{n,\mathrm{prim}}=:V_{\mathrm{prim}}} \oplus \begin{cases} 0 & \text{, for } n \text{ odd,} \\ \mathbb{Q}_l(-\frac{n-2}{2}) & \text{, for } n \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l} V_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 1$, and the geometric Frobenius $F_{\mathcal{X}}$ acts on $V_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ as multiplication by $\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{a})$.

4.7**. Example.** For $m = 3$, $n = 6$, $k = \mathbb{F}_7$ as in 3.5, we have $V = V_{\{0,0,0,0,0,0\}} \oplus V_{\mathrm{prim}}$, $V_{\mathrm{prim}} = V_{\{1,1,1,1,1,1\}} \oplus V_{[1,1,1,2,2,2]} \oplus V_{\{2,2,2,2,2,2\}}$, and if we define $\chi : \mathbb{F}_7^\times \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\chi(3) := \zeta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(1,1,1,1,1,1) &= -56-21\zeta, \\ \mathcal{J}(1,1,1,2,2,2) &= 49, \\ \mathcal{J}(2,2,2,2,2,2) &= -35+21\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

4.8**. Lemma.** $\tilde{A}$ acts trivially on $V_{(0,\ldots,0)}$.

Proof. If n is odd, $V_{(0,\ldots,0)} = 0$ according to 4.6, and there is nothing to prove. So let n be even.

In the case $n = 2$, we have $\dim \mathcal{X} = 0$, i.e. V is a $\mathbb{Q}_l$-algebra containing a subring R that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_l$ and on which all automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}$ act trivially. So in particular A acts trivially on R which means $R \subseteq V_{(0,0)}$. But $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l} V_{(0,0)} = 1$ according to 4.6, so $R = V_{(0,0)}$ follows.

In the case $n \geq 4$, let $[H]$ denote the class of the smooth hyperplane section $\{x_n = 0\}$ in $\mathrm{CH}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{X})$, and let $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}^2_{\text{ét}}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1))$ denote the corresponding class in cohomology. Hard Lefschetz tells us that multiplication by γ^{n-2} induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^0_{\text{ét}}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{2n-4}_{\text{ét}}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(n-2))$ which in particular implies $[\gamma(-1)]^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \neq 0 \in$

V. Obviously, for all automorphisms $s \in \tilde{A}$ we have $s^*[H] = H$, so that $\tilde{A}$ (and thus its subgroup A) acts trivially one the one-dimensional subspace $\left\langle [\gamma(-1)]^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \right\rangle$ of V. Then 4.6 implies that this subspace is equal to $V_{(0,\dots,0)}$, which completes the proof of the lemma. **q.e.d.**

The following proposition is surprisingly hard to prove and constitutes the technical heart of this paper:

4.9**. Proposition.** Let $\tau \in S_n$ be a *transposition* and $\boldsymbol{a} \in A_n^m$ with ${}^\tau\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{a}$, so that τ induces a $\mathbb{Q}_l$-linear involution on $V_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ according to 4.1(iii). This involution is multiplication by (-1).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\tau = [12]$ (and therefore $a_1 = a_2$). We prove the proposition seperately for the three cases $n = 2$, $n = 3$ and $n \geq 4$:

- $\underline{n=2}$*:* If m is *odd*, we have $A_2^m = \emptyset$, and nothing is to prove. So let m be *even* which in particular implies $\boldsymbol{a} = (\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$. Because of 4.1(iii), we have the decomposition

$$V = V_{(0,0)} \oplus V_{(\frac{m}{2},\frac{m}{2})} \oplus \underbrace{\bigoplus_{j\in\{1,\dots,m-1\}\setminus\{\frac{m}{2}\}} V_{(j,m-j)}}_{=:V'}$$

  of V into $\mathbb{Q}_l$-G_k-subspaces. Lemma 4.8 tells us that τ^* has trace 1 on $V_{(0,0)}$, and 4.1(iii) shows that τ^* has trace 0 on V'. Finally, a simple calculation shows that the automorphism τ of $\bar{\mathcal{X}}$ has no fixed points, and therefore Lefschetz trace formula gives us

$$0 = \operatorname{Tr}(\tau^*) = 1 + \operatorname{Tr}(\tau^*|V_{(\frac{m}{2},\frac{m}{2})}) + 0 \implies \operatorname{Tr}(\tau^*|V_{(\frac{m}{2},\frac{m}{2})}) = -1.$$

  Seeing as $V_{(\frac{m}{2},\frac{m}{2})}$ is one-dimensional because of 4.6, this completes the proof for $n = 2$.
- $\underline{n=3}$*:* Again, we first compute the number $(\Delta_{\bar{\mathcal{X}}}, \Gamma_\tau)$ of fixed points of τ by a simple calculation:

$$(\Delta_{\bar{\mathcal{X}}}, \Gamma_\tau) = \begin{cases} m & \text{, for } m \text{ even,} \\ m+1 & \text{, for } m \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

  Lefschetz trace formula implies

$$(\Delta_{\bar{\mathcal{X}}}, \Gamma_\tau) = \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr}(\tau^*|\mathrm{H}^0_{\text{ét}}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l))}_{=:t_0} - \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr}(\tau^*|\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l))}_{=:t_1} + \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr}(\tau^*|\mathrm{H}^2_{\text{ét}}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l))}_{=:t_2}. \tag{14}$$

  Because of $n > 2$, $\bar{\mathcal{X}}$ is irreducible, i.e. $\mathrm{H}^0_{\text{ét}}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is isomorphic to the trivial $\mathbb{Q}_l$-G_k-representation $\mathbb{Q}_l$, which implies $t_0 = 1$ and then $t_2 = 1$ by Poincaré duality. Therefore (14) gives us:

$$t_1 = 2 - (\Delta_{\bar{\mathcal{X}}}, \Gamma_\tau). \tag{15}$$

  Now put $N := \left\{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in A_3^m \,\middle|\, a_1 = a_2\right\}$ and note

$$\#N = \begin{cases} m-2 & \text{, for } m \text{ even,} \\ m-1 & \text{, for } m \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

It is

$$t_1 = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tau^* \left| \bigoplus_{a\in A_3^m} V_{\boldsymbol{a}} \right.\right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}\in A_n^m} \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tau^*|V_{\boldsymbol{a}}\right)}_{\in\{-1,1\}} + \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tau^* \left| \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{a}\in A_3^m\setminus N} V_{\boldsymbol{a}} \right.\right)}_{\overset{4.1\text{(iii)}}{=}0}$$

in $[-\#N, \#N]$, and $t_1 = -\#N$ iff the lemma is true for all $\boldsymbol{a} \in N$. We check:

$$t_1 \overset{(15)}{=} 2 - (\Delta_{\bar{\mathcal{X}}}, \Gamma_\tau) \overset{(13)}{=} 2 - \left\{ \begin{array}{c} m \\ m+1 \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2-m \\ 1-m \end{array} \right\} \overset{(16)}{=} -\#N.$$

- $n \geq 4$*:* Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 3}$ with $r + s = n + 2$. We use Shioda's "inductive structure of Fermat varieties" ([Shi79b, p.179], [Shi82]): According to Shioda, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\beta^{-1}(Y) \arrow[r, hook, "j'"] \arrow[d, "\beta'"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\square"] & Z_{r,s}^m \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] \arrow[dr, "\psi"] & Z_{r,s}^m/\mu_m \arrow[d, "\bar{\psi}"] & \\
\underbrace{\mathcal{X}_{r-1,K}^m \times \mathcal{X}_{s-1,K}^m}_{=:Y} \arrow[r, hook, "j"'] & \mathcal{X}_{r,K}^m \times \mathcal{X}_{s,K}^m \arrow[r, dashed, "\varphi"'] & \bar{\mathcal{X}} & \mathcal{X}_{r-1,K}^m \coprod \mathcal{X}_{s-1,K}^m, \arrow[l, hook', "i"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the maps are defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccl}
\varphi & : & \text{rational map, defined by} \\
 & & ([x_1 : \ldots : x_r], [y_1 : \ldots : y_s]) \mapsto \\
 & & \qquad [x_1 y_s : \ldots : x_{r-1} y_s : \varepsilon x_r y_1 : \ldots : \varepsilon x_r y_{s-1}] \text{ (with } \varepsilon^m = -1\text{)}, \\
j & : & ([x_1 : \ldots : x_{r-1}], [y_1 : \ldots : y_{s-1}]) \mapsto \\
 & & \qquad ([x_1 : \ldots : x_{r-1}, 0], [y_1 : \ldots : y_{s-1}, 0]), \\
i & = & i_1 \coprod i_2 : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i_1([x_1 : \ldots : x_{r-1}]) = [x_1 : \ldots : x_{r-1} : 0 : \ldots : 0] \\ i_2([y_1 : \ldots : y_{s-1}]) = [0 : \ldots : 0 : y_1 : \ldots : y_{s-1}] \end{array} \right. , \\
\beta & : & \text{blowing up of } \mathcal{X}_{r,K}^m \times \mathcal{X}_{s,K}^m \text{ along } Y, \\
\beta' & : & \text{restriction of } \beta, \\
j' & : & \text{embedding}, \\
\pi & : & \text{quotient morphism}, \\
\bar{\psi} & : & \text{blowing up of } \bar{\mathcal{X}} \text{ along } \mathcal{X}_{r-1,K}^m \coprod \mathcal{X}_{s-1,K}^m, \\
\psi & = & \varphi \circ \beta = \bar{\psi} \circ \pi.
\end{array}$$

Shioda defines $A_{r,s}^m := \{(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}) \in A_r^m \times A_s^m \mid b_r + c_s = 0\}$, considers the maps

$$\begin{array}{lcll}
A_{r,s}^m & \xrightarrow{\sharp} & A_n^m, \quad \boldsymbol{b} \sharp \boldsymbol{c} & := \quad (b_1, \ldots, b_{r-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{s-1}) \text{ and} \\
A_{r-1}^m \times A_{s-1}^m & \xrightarrow{*} & A_n^m, \quad \boldsymbol{b'} * \boldsymbol{c'} & := \quad (b_1', \ldots, b_{r-1}', c_1', \ldots, c_{s-1}'),
\end{array}$$

shows that $A_{r,s}^m \coprod \left(A_{r-1}^m \times A_{s-1}^m\right) \xrightarrow{\sharp \sqcup *} A_n^m$ is a bijection and proves that for $(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}) \in A_{r,s}^m$ and $(\boldsymbol{b'}, \boldsymbol{c'}) \in A_{r-1}^m \times A_{s-1}^m$, we get isomorphisms

$$V_{\boldsymbol{b}} \otimes V_{\boldsymbol{c}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi_* \beta^*} V_{\boldsymbol{b} \sharp \boldsymbol{c}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_{\boldsymbol{b'}} \otimes V_{\boldsymbol{c'}}(-1) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi_* {j'}_* {\beta'}^*} V_{\boldsymbol{b'} * \boldsymbol{c'}}.$$

In particular, we can choose $r := 3$ and $s := n-1$, and look at the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \beta^{-1}(Y) \arrow[rr, hook, "j'"] \arrow[d, "\beta'"] & & Z^m_{3,n-1} \arrow[d, "\beta"] \arrow[rrd, "\psi"] & & \\
& Y \arrow[rr, hook, "j"'] & & \mathcal{X}^m_{3,K} \times \mathcal{X}^m_{n-1,K} \arrow[rr, dashed, "\varphi"'] & & \bar{\mathcal{X}} \\
\beta^{-1}(Y) \arrow[ruu, "\tau'"] \arrow[rr, hook, "j'"] \arrow[d, "\beta'"'] & & Z^m_{3,n-1} \arrow[ruu, "\tau'"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] \arrow[rrd, "\psi"] & & & \\
Y \arrow[ruu, "\tau\times 1"] \arrow[rr, hook, "j"'] & & \mathcal{X}^m_{3,K} \times \mathcal{X}^m_{n-1,K} \arrow[ruu, "\tau\times 1"] \arrow[rr, dashed, "\varphi"'] & & \bar{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[ruu, "\tau"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The morphism $\tau \times 1$ maps Y isomorphically to Y, so that we get an induced τ' on the blowing up that commutes with $\tau \times 1$. Furthermore, one immediately sees $(\tau \times 1) \circ j = j \circ (\tau \times 1)$ and $\tau \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ (\tau \times 1)$ which shows that the diagram commutes.

Because $(\sharp \sqcup *)$ is a bijection, $\boldsymbol{a}$ is either of the form $\boldsymbol{b}\sharp\boldsymbol{c}$ or of the form $\boldsymbol{b'}*\boldsymbol{c'}$, and our assumption ${}^{\tau}\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{a}$ implies $b_1 = b_2$ resp. $b'_1 = b'_2$. Because τ is an involution, we have $\tilde{\tau}_* = \tilde{\tau}^*$, and we get

$$\tau^*(\psi_*\beta^*) = (\tau_*\psi_*)\beta^* = (\psi_*{\tau'}_*)\beta^* = \psi_*({\tau'}^*\beta^*) = (\psi_*\beta^*)(\tau\times 1)^* \text{ resp.}$$
$$\tau^*(\psi_*j'_*{\beta'}^*) = (\tau_*\psi_*j'_*){\beta'}^* = (\psi_*j'_*{\tau'}_*){\beta'}^* = \psi_*j'_*({\tau'}^*{\beta'}^*) = (\psi_*j'_*{\beta'}^*)(\tau\times 1)^*,$$

which shows that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_{\boldsymbol{b}} \otimes V_{\boldsymbol{c}} \arrow[r, "\psi_*\beta^*", "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "(\tau\times1)^*"', "\wr"] & V_{\boldsymbol{b}\sharp\boldsymbol{c}} \arrow[d, "\wr"', "\tau^*"] & \text{resp.} & V_{\boldsymbol{b'}} \otimes V_{\boldsymbol{c'}}(-1) \arrow[r, "\psi_*{j'}_*{\beta'}^*", "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "(\tau\times1)^*"', "\wr"] & V_{\boldsymbol{b'}*\boldsymbol{c'}} \arrow[d, "\wr"', "\tau^*"] \\
V_{\boldsymbol{b}} \otimes V_{\boldsymbol{c}} \arrow[r, "\psi_*\beta^*", "\sim"'] & V_{\boldsymbol{b}\sharp\boldsymbol{c}} & & V_{\boldsymbol{b'}} \otimes V_{\boldsymbol{c'}}(-1) \arrow[r, "\psi_*{j'}_*{\beta'}^*", "\sim"'] & V_{\boldsymbol{b'}*\boldsymbol{c'}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

As we already treated the cases "$n = 2$" and "$n = 3$", we know that $(\tau\times1)^*$ is multiplication by (-1), and the claim follows from the commutativity of the respective diagram.

So the claim is proved for all $n \geq 2$. **q.e.d.**

4.10**. Corollary.** Let $\boldsymbol{a} \in A^m_n$, and fix $v \in V_{\boldsymbol{a}} \setminus \{0\}$. For each $\boldsymbol{b} \in [\boldsymbol{a}] := S_n \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \in S_n \backslash A^m_n$, choose $\sigma_b \in S_n$ with $\sigma_b^{-1}(\boldsymbol{a}) = \boldsymbol{b}$ and set $v_b := \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b) \cdot \sigma_b^* v$.

Then $\{v_b\}$ is a basis of $V_{[\boldsymbol{a}]} := \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{b}\in[\boldsymbol{a}]} V_{\boldsymbol{b}}$, independant of the chosen σ_b, such that for all $s := (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) \cdot \sigma \in \tilde{A}$ and all $\boldsymbol{b} \in [\boldsymbol{a}]$:

$$\boxed{s^* v_b = (\zeta_1^{b_{\sigma(1)}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \zeta_n^{b_{\sigma(n)}}) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot v_{\sigma^{-1}b}}. \tag{17}$$

Proof: First of all, $\forall \boldsymbol{b} \in [\boldsymbol{a}] : v_b \in V_{\boldsymbol{b}} \setminus \{0\}$, because $v \neq 0$ and σ^* is an automorphism of $V_{[\boldsymbol{a}]}$ with $\sigma^*(V_{\boldsymbol{a}}) = V_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ (according to 4.1(iii)); so the $\{v_b\}$ indeed form a basis of $V_{[\boldsymbol{a}]}$.

To see that v_b does not depend on the choice of σ_b, let $\sigma \in S_n$ be another permutation with $\sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{a}) = \boldsymbol{b}$. It follows that $\boldsymbol{b}$ is fix under $\omega := \sigma_b^{-1}\sigma$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\omega = [1, \dots, k_1] \cdot [k_1+1, \dots, k_2] \cdot \dots \cdot [k_{s-1}+1, \dots, k_s]$. Because ω fixes $\boldsymbol{b}$, we have $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_{k_1},\ b_{k_1+1} = \dots = b_{k_2},\ b_{k_{s-1}+1} = \dots = b_{k_s}$. Now express each cycle in this product as a product of transpositions that only permutes elements which are also permuted by the respective cycle. Then these transpositions fix $\boldsymbol{b}$ as well, i.e. we can express ω as a product of transpositions that fix $\boldsymbol{b}$. Now 4.9 implies that $\omega^*|V_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ is multiplication by $\operatorname{sgn}(\omega)$, and the independance follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(18)}\quad \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \sigma^* v &= \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b\omega) \cdot (\sigma_b\omega)^* v = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b\omega) \cdot \omega^* \sigma_b^* v \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b\omega) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \cdot \sigma_b^* v = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b\omega^2) \cdot \sigma_b^* v = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b) \cdot \sigma_b^* v = v_b. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (17), take any $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\boldsymbol{b} \in [\boldsymbol{a}]$. We have $v_{\sigma^{-1}b} = v_{\sigma^{-1}\sigma_b^{-1}a} = v_{(\sigma_b\sigma)^{-1}a} \overset{(18)}{=} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b\sigma) \cdot (\sigma_b\sigma)^* v$, so that we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma^* v_b = \sigma^*(\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b) \cdot \sigma_b^* v) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b) \cdot \sigma^* \sigma_b^* v \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b) \cdot \underbrace{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)}_{=1} \cdot (\sigma_b\sigma)^* v = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \underbrace{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_b\sigma) \cdot (\sigma_b\sigma)^* v}_{= v_{(\sigma_b\sigma)^{-1}a} = v_{\sigma^{-1}b}} = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot v_{\sigma^{-1}b}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, (11) and (12), equation (17) follows immediately. **q.e.d.**

Putting everything together, we get our final result:

4.11. **Theorem.** Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 3}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 2}$ be natural numbers, let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with $\operatorname{char} k > m$ and $\mu_m \subseteq k^\times$, and let Q be a $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_K^{n,m}} / \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_k^{n,m}}$-form of the Fermat equation P_n^m with associated hypersurface Y.

Then because of 3.10(iii), $Q \cong P_n^m\{L, x\}$ for $L = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{q^{n_i}}$ with $\sum n_i = n$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n_i}}^\times$. According to 3.4, the associated cohomology class (L, x) in $H^1_{\text{cont}}(G_k, S_n \int \mu_m)$ is represented by a 1-cocycle b that on the arithmetic Frobenius f is given by $b_f = \prod_{i=1}^r \bigl[\bigl(y_i^{q^{n_i}-1}, 1, \dots, 1\bigr) \cdot z_{n_i}\bigr] \in S_n \int \mu_m$ if y_i denotes an m-th root of x_i in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Write b_f in the form $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \cdot \sigma$ with $\zeta_i \in \mu_m$ and $\sigma \in S_n$.

Then we know from (4), that the action of the gemetric Frobenius F_Y on V is given as

$$F_Y^* = \mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}\left({}^{\bar{f}^{-1}} b_f\right) \circ F_{\mathcal{X}}^* \overset{\mu_m \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times}{=} \mathrm{H}^i_{\text{ét}}(b_f) \circ F_{\mathcal{X}}^* = \bigl((\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \cdot \sigma\bigr)^* \circ F_{\mathcal{X}}^*,$$

and it follows from 4.5, 4.6 and 4.10 that:

$$\boxed{F_Y^*|V_{\text{prim}} = \bigoplus_{[\boldsymbol{a}] \in S_n \setminus A_n^m} \left\{ V_{[\boldsymbol{a}]} \xrightarrow{\cdot \mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{a})} V_{[\boldsymbol{a}]} \xrightarrow{v_b \mapsto (\zeta_1^{b_{\sigma(1)}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \zeta_n^{b_{\sigma(n)}}) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot v_{\sigma^{-1}b}} V_{[\boldsymbol{a}]} \right\}},$$

and finally from 0.1 and 4.6 we get

$$\boxed{\zeta(Q,t) = \det\,(1 - F_Y^*t \,|\, V_{\mathrm{prim}})^{\left[(-1)^{n+1}\right]} \prod_{i\in\{0,\dots,n-2\}} \frac{1}{1-q^it}}.$$

4.12**. Example.** Let $m = 3$, $n = 6$, $k = \mathbb{F}_7$ and $b \in H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(G_{\mathbb{F}_7}, \mu_3 \int S_6)$ be as in 3.5 and 4.7. We want to compute the zeta function of $Q := P^3_6\{b\}$. According to 3.5, on the arithmetic Frobenius f the 1-cocycle b has value $(2, 1, 1, 1, 4, 1) \cdot [1234][56]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det\,(1 - F_Y^*t \,|\, V_{\mathrm{prim}})\\
= \;&\det(1 - f^*F^*t \,|\, V_{\{1,1,1,1,1,1\}}) \cdot \det(1 - f^*F^*t \,|\, V_{[1,1,1,2,2,2]})\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \cdot\det(1 - f^*F^*t \,|\, V_{\{2,2,2,2,2,2\}})\\
\overset{4.7}{=} \;&(1 - 7^2t) \cdot [1 - (-56 - 21\zeta)t] \cdot [1 - (49t)^4]^4\\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot[1 - (49t)^2]^2[1 - (-35 + 21\zeta)t]\\
= \;&(1 + 91t + 7^4t^2)(1 - 7^2t)(1 - 7^4t^2)^2(1 - 7^8t^4)^4.
\end{aligned}$$

So 4.11 gives

$$\zeta(P,t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \cdot \frac{1}{1-7t} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + 91t + 7^4t^2)(1 - 7^2t)(1 - 7^4t^2)^2(1 - 7^8t^4)^4} \cdot \frac{1}{1-7^3t} \cdot \frac{1}{1-7^4t},$$

and by taking the logarithm, we finally get

$$\zeta(P,t) = \exp\left(\frac{2710}{1}t + \frac{5897984}{2}t^2 + \frac{13881660703}{3}t^3 + \frac{33246893493864}{4}t^4 + \dots\right).$$

5. Remarks and open problems

Let us keep the notation of the last chapter but drop the assumption that k contains the m-th roots of unity, thus looking at a case which has not been studied in any of the above mentioned papers by Weil, Deligne, Shioda or Gouvêa/ Yui.

In this situation, it is no longer true that the one-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector-spaces $V_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ are invariant under the Frobenius action. Indeed, in [Brü02] we prove the following proposition:

5.1**. Proposition.** We have an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ on A^m_n, which is defined by $t \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_n) := (ta_1, \dots, ta_n)$. Because of $m > p$, we have $q \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and for $\boldsymbol{a} \in A^m_n$ we therefore can consider $q\boldsymbol{a} \in A^m_n$, $\langle \boldsymbol{a} \rangle := \{q^n\boldsymbol{a} | n \geq 0\}$ and $V_{\langle a \rangle} := \bigoplus_{b\in\langle a\rangle} V_b$.

The geometric Frobenius F^* maps V_a to V_{qa}. In particular, $V_{\langle a\rangle}$ is invariant under

F^* and therefore a $\mathbb{Q}_l$-G_k-representation, so that we get a decomposition of V_{prim} in $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{G_k}$ as

$$V_{\text{prim}} = \bigoplus_{\langle \boldsymbol{a} \rangle \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \backslash A_n^m} V_{\langle \boldsymbol{a} \rangle}.$$

Put $d := \gcd(m, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, $m' := m/d$, $\boldsymbol{a'} := (a_1/d, \ldots, a_n/d) \in A_n^{m'}$, and let e denote the order of q in $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (so that $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ is the smallest field of characteristic p that contains the m'-th roots of unity).

Then $\langle \boldsymbol{a} \rangle = \{q^i \boldsymbol{a} \mid i \in \{0, \ldots, e-1\}\}$ with all the $q^i \boldsymbol{a}$ being distinct. In particular, we have $e = \#\langle \boldsymbol{a} \rangle$.

Choose any $v \in V_{\boldsymbol{a}} \setminus \{0\}$, and put $v_i := (F^*)^i v \in V_{q^i a} \setminus \{0\}$ for $i \in \{0, \ldots, e-1\}$. Then the matrix of $F^*|V_{\langle a \rangle}$ with respect to the basis $\{v = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{e-1}\}$ is:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^e}}^{m'}(\boldsymbol{a'}) \\ \hline 1 & & 0 & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

This proposition enables us to explicitly compute the Frobenius action for forms of the Fermat hypersurface, provided there are no $\boldsymbol{a} \in A_n^m$, $\sigma \in S_n \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ and $t \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \setminus \{1\}$ with $t\boldsymbol{a} = {}^\sigma\boldsymbol{a}$, because then we can choose the bases of V_{prim} described in 5.1 and 4.10 independantly.

Such a "good" case is for example given by $m = n = 3$, because in this case $A_3^3 = \{(1,1,1), (2,2,2)\}$, S_3 acts trivially, and $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^\times$ permutes the two characters. This means we can compute Frobenius action and zeta function for arbitrary forms of the "Fermat cubic curve" $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$ over any finite field of characteristic ≥ 5.

In contrast to that, "bad" cases are for example given by $m = 3$, $n = 2$ or $m = 3$, $n = 4$:

$$2 \cdot (1,2) = {}^{(12)}(1,2) \quad \text{resp.} \quad 2 \cdot (1,1,2,2) = {}^{(13)(24)}(1,1,2,2).$$

Also in these two cases, we actually succeeded to compute the Frobenius action by a very explicit combinatorial computation (as explained in [Brü02]), but to solve the problem in general, for any "bad" triple $(\boldsymbol{a}, \sigma, t)$ as above, one has to compute the eigenvalue of the endomorphism $(F \circ \sigma)^*|V_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, and we don't know how to do that yet.

This problem may also be connected to the Hodge conjecture and the Tate conjecture for Fermat varieties:

As we already mentioned in the introduction, Shioda proved thouse in a lot of cases ([Shi79a], [Shi79b]) and also identified the "smallest" case in which his method does not work in [Shi81]: For $m = 25$, $n = 6$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, the cohomology classes in $\boldsymbol{a} := (1, 6, 11, 16, 21, 20) \in A_6^{25}$ are invariant under the Frobenius action, i.e. they are so-called "Tate-cycles" (resp. "Hodge-cycles" if one instead considers the same Fermat variety and $\boldsymbol{a}$ defined over $\mathbb{C}$), but Shioda's method fails to prove that they are algebraic which they should be according to the Tate (resp. Hodge) conjecture.

Now we can not help noticing that $\boldsymbol{a}$ is part of a “bad” triple in the above sense:

$$\begin{aligned}6\cdot(1,6,11,16,21,20) &= (6,36,66,96,126,120)\\ &= (6,11,16,21,1,20) = {}^{(12345)}(1,6,11,16,21,20),\end{aligned}$$

suggesting that there may be a connection between the conjectures in this case and the action of $\big(F\circ(12345)\big)^{*}$ on $V_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ for $q \equiv 6 \pmod{25}$.

References

[Brü02] Lars Brünjes. *Über die Zetafunktion von Formen von Fermatgleichungen.* PhD thesis, Universität Regensburg, OPUS Regensburg, http://www.uni-regensburg.de/opus/volltexte/2002/98, July 2002.

[Del73] Pierre Deligne. *Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique (SGA 7 II)*, volume 340 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, chapter XI - Cohomologie des intersections complète, pages 39–61. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.

[Del82] Pierre Deligne. *Hodge Cycles, Motives and Shimura Varieties*, volume 900 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.

[Gro71] A. Grothendieck. *SGA 1*, volume 224 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, chapter VIII - Déscente Fidelment plat. Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1971.

[GY95] Fernando Q. Gouvêa and Noriko Yui. *Arithmetic of Diagonal Hypersurfaces over Finite Fields*, volume 209 of *London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[Lan93] Serge Lang. *Algebra.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading (Massachusetts), Menlo Park (California), New York, 1993.

[Rup96] Christopher Rupprecht. Kohomologische Invarianten für Formen höheren Grades. Diploma thesis, Universität zu Köln, 1996.

[Ser97] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois Cohomology.* Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997.

[Shi79a] Tetsuji Shioda. The Hodge conjecture and the Tate conjecture for fermat varieties. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 55(3):111–114, 1979.

[Shi79b] Tetsuji Shioda. The Hodge conjecture for Fermat Varieties. *Mathematische Annalen*, 245(2):175–184, 1979.

[Shi81] Tetsuji Shioda. Algebraic cycles on abelian varieties of Fermat type. *Mathematische Annalen*, 258(1):65–80, 1981.

[Shi82] Tetsuji Shioda. Geometry of Fermat Varieties. *Number Theory Related to Fermat's Last Theorem, Progress in Math.*, 26, 1982.

[Shi83] Tetsuji Shioda. What is known about the Hodge Conjecture? *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties, Proc. Symp., Tokyo 1981*, 1:55–68, 1983.

[Shi87] Tetsuji Shioda. Some Observations on Jacobi Sums. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 12, Galois Representations and Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry*, pages 119–135, 1987.

[Shi88] Tetsuji Shioda. Arithmetic and geometry of Fermat curves. In *Algebraic Geometry Seminar, Singapore, 1987*, pages 95–102. World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1988.

[SK79] Tetsuji Shioda and Toshiyuki Katsura. On Fermat Varieties. *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, 31:97–115, 1979.

[SW98] Andrzej Sładek and Adam Wesołowski. Clifford-Littlewood-Eckmann groups as orthogonal groups of forms of higher degree. *Annales Mathematicae Silesianae*, 12:93–103, 1998.

[Tam94] Günter Tamme. *Introduction to Étale Cohomology.* Universitext. Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1994.

[Wei49] André Weil. Numbers of Solutions of Equations in Finite Fields. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 55(1):497–508, 1949.

[Wes99] Adam Wesołowski. Automorphism and similarity groups of forms determined by the characteristic polynomial. *Communications in Algebra*, 27(7):3109–3116, 1999.

University of Cambridge, Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, United Kingdom

E-mail address: `lbrunjes.gmx.de`